

# COMBINING ABILITY ANALYSIS FOR YIELD AND RELATED TRAITS IN RICE (ORYZA SATIVA L.)

## SHILPI MALIK\*AND SURENDRA SINGH

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar - 263145, INDIA e-mail: malikshilpi821@gmail.com

## **KEYWORDS**

Rice CMS lines Combining ability

**Received on :** 12.04.2013

Accepted on : 28.08.2013

\*Corresponding author

#### ABSTRACT

Combining ability study on grain yield and component traits from a line x tester analysis of 20 lines, 2 CMS & 1 TGMS testers and 60 crosses, indicated non additive gene effects were predominant for all the traits viz. Days to 50% flowering, plant height, panicle length, panicle number per plant, tiller per plant, panicle weight, 1000 grain weight, spikelet number per panicle, grain number per panicle, % spikelet fertility and harvest index. Amongst parents PUSA 6A (T<sub>3</sub>) in testers and UPR 3456-4-2-2 (L<sub>14</sub>) in lines are good combiners for grain yield and other yield related component traits based on their GCA effects. Top hybrids expressing highest SCA effects for grain yield were obtained with general combiners involved into different parental combinations of UPR 3403-4-1-1 x UPRI 95-167 (L<sub>1</sub> x T<sub>1</sub>), UPRI 2008-62 x UPRI 95-17A (L<sub>20</sub> x T<sub>2</sub>) and UPR 3428-4-1-1 x UPRI 95-17A(L<sub>11</sub> x T<sub>2</sub>). Two lines viz. UPR 3456-4-2-2 (L<sub>14</sub>) and UPR 3434-1-1-2 (L<sub>12</sub>) were identified as good general combiners based on their mean performance and GCA effects for yield and its various traits. These crosses may be exploited for commercial cultivation besides the possibility of isolating transgressive segregants from their segregating generations.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the most premier crop in the term of its contribution to the value of food production in the developing countries. The basic objective of breeding is to increase the yield per unit area to meet the demand of increasing populations. The breeding methodology involves three approaches. (a) Three line method or CMS system which has been found to be most effective genetic tool for developing hybrids, (b) Two line method or PGMS and TGMS system and (c) One line system or apomictic system which enable the farmers to use their own seeds for successive crops without experiencing genetic segregation (Khan et al., 2012). Hybrid rice includes three line and two line hybrid rice that is developed via cytoplasmic male sterility and photo/thermo sensitive male sterility respectively given by Yuan and Peng (2005). The first approach is called three-line system involving CMS line, a maintainer line and restorer line. The second approach is called two-line system involving environmentally sensitive male sterility (Sheeba et al., 2009). In 1974, Chinese scientist successfully transferred the male sterility gene from wild rice to create the CMS line and hybrid combination (FAO org., 2004). The present study is based on the estimation of combining ability of CMS, TGMS testers, 20 lines and 60 crosses in rice were planted for evaluation in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with two replications, combining ability helps in the evaluation of inbreds in term of their genetic value, in the selection of suitable parents for hybridization which may be utilized for the commercial cultivation (Lyngdoh et al., 2013).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in randomized block design with 60  $F_1$  crosses generated by crossing Two CMS testers (UPRI 95-17A and PUSA 6A), one TGMS tester (UPRI 95-167) with twenty lines in line x tester mating design in two replications at Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre of Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar during *kharif* 2010. Observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants for twelve characters viz., Days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm),

S.No.	Code	Genotypes
1	T <sub>1</sub>	UPRI 95-167
2	Τ,	UPRI 95-17A
3	T <sub>3</sub>	Pusa 6A
4	L	UPR 3403-4-1-1
5	L,	UPR 3403-11-1-2
6	L,	UPR 3403-3-1-2
7	L <sub>4</sub>	UPR 3406-7-2-1
8	L <sub>5</sub>	UPR 3406-7-2-2
9	L	UPR 3406-8-1-1
10	L,	UPR 3411-1-1-1
11	Ĺ	UPR 3413-8-2-1
12	Ľ	UPR 3413-8-3-1
13	L <sub>10</sub>	UPR 3425-11-1-1
14	L	UPR 3428-4-1-1
15	L <sub>12</sub>	UPR 3434-1-1-2
16	L <sub>13</sub>	UPR 3443-1-3-1
17	L <sub>14</sub>	UPR 3456-4-2-2
18	L <sub>15</sub>	UPR 3480-1-1-1
19	L <sub>16</sub>	UPR 3480-9-1-1
20	L <sub>17</sub>	UPR 3430-9-2-1
21	L <sub>18</sub>	UPR 3469-13-1-1
22	L <sub>19</sub>	UPRI 2008-39
23	L <sub>20</sub>	UPRI 2008-62

ource of vari	iation	d.f.	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height	Panicle Length	Panicle number per plant	Tiller per plant	Panicle weight	1000 grain weight	Spikelet number per panicle	Grain r number pe panicle	% spikelet r fertility	Harvest Index	Grain yield per plant
ines actar		19 2	60.18** 0 166ne	280.38** 87 76**	10.25** 13 82**	11.06** 2.67ns	11.73** 1 50nc	1.26** 187**	38.42** 34 93**	1818.95** 3375.5**	1298.67** 524.66**	* 75.76** 205.07**	42.83** 112 68**	21.93** 23 88**
ue × Tester		38	55.40**	173.13**	$3.83^{**}$	15.18**	$15.42^{**}$	$1.09^{**}$	$10.09^{**}$	2227.38**	2832.53**	808.28**	234.99**	123.97**
rror	~	82	2.84	2.37	1.32	0.86	0.93	0.031	0.60	5.46	12.09	5.12	11.19	0.89
omponents (	of varianc	Ge						1						
<sup>2</sup> gca			-3.53	-0.63	0.15	-1.80	-1.80	-0.05	-2.35	-40.24	-132.02	-14.91	-3.76	-0.42
<sup>2</sup> sca		•	29.78	104.52	2.65	8.32	8.42	0.63	12.34	1122.11	1185.39	263.19	72.07	41.52
² gca/σ² sca		-	0.12	-0.006	0.056	-0.25	-0.22	-0.08	-0.19	-0.04	-0.11	-0.06	0.52	-0.01
<sup>2</sup> D <sup>2</sup>		-	-0.68 29.78	-5.64 104.52	-0.12 2.65	-1.06 8.32	-1.16 8.42	0.01 0.63	-1.01 12.34	-55.63 1122.11	132.92 1185.39	58.39 263.19	21.25 72.07	5.83 41.52
able 2: Estima	ates of ge	sneral co	mbining a	bility effect o	of parents									
arents	Days to	Plan	E D	anicle	Panicle	Tillers	Panicle	1000	grain S <sub>k</sub>	pikelet	Grain	% spikelet	Harvest	Grain yield/
ines) f	50% flowering	heig	sht L	ength	number per plant	per plant	weight	weigh	n p	umber per anicle	number per panicle	fertility	Index	plant
	0.93	2.35	0 **(	.35	-0.22	-1.00**	$0.52^{**}$	-0.96	** 1.	6.77**	27.18**	9.15**	5.05**	5.81**
1	-0.40	4.23	3** 1 1	.46**	-0.88**	-1.00**	$0.65^{**}$	0.15	З.	$2.44^{**}$	$30.84^{**}$	$4.81^{**}$	$4.56^{**}$	3.58**
0	0.10	-4.6	5** -(	0.15	-0.88**	-1.00**	-0.59**	$0.80^{*}$	*	37.39**	-19.49**	$10.38^{**}$	1.23ns	-3.75**
1	-2.07**	-2.9	0 **0	.78*	0.12	0.00	$0.30^{**}$	-0.38	ې *	3.89**	3.68**	$11.09^{**}$	-3.26*	-1.50**
ľ	-2.40**	-2.9	4** -(	0.77*	-1.38**	-2.17**	-0.36**	-2.41	**	4.11**	-0.99ns	-11.68**	-5.16**	-1.71**
	-3.73**	0.35	· · ·	2.01** _1 **	1.12**	1.50**	-0.30**	-0.37	* *	3.39**	-17.82**	$-10.27^{**}$	-2.92* 	-2.08**
، ر	0.// 10**	14.6		**lč.	0.95** 0.11	U.5U	0.11.*	- 1 - 1	, , ,	25.50** 77	-0.10**	13.12** r 10**	/.//**	-0.09
4 I	2.10** 1.90**	0.4. 00.4	)** )** 1	0.35* .63**	-0.22 -0.22	-0.67*	0.36** -0.41**	-0.23	c	.// 18.89**	0.6605 -13.99**	-0.08ns	0.41 0.41	-0.42
. 1	$2.60^{**}$	3.85	0 **(	.80**	$-1.05^{**}$	-1.33 * *	-0.03	1.78*	* 1.	$6.44^{**}$	$10.59^{**}$	-0.76ns	-0.55	-4.79**
, -	0.93	5.64	1** 1	.88**	1.78**	$1.33^{**}$	0.12**	0.07	2.	.27**	$6.34^{**}$	$3.68^{**}$	1.70	-2.85**
-	-5.90**	2.35	)- **(	0.58	-1.55**	-1.33**	$0.51^{**}$	0.66*	* 2.	$2.94^{**}$	$18.51^{**}$	1.24ns	1.32ns	1.43**
-	-3.40**	-14.	27** -(	0.43	-0.05ns	$0.83^{*}$	-0.05*	0.29n	s -1	1.56**	-11.91**	-2.78**	1.57ns	-1.37**
4	1.77**	0.0 0.0	)- **/	0.17	-1.05**	0.00ns	$0.45^{**}$	-0.91	1 *	7.11** 	29.18** 	11.07**	6.55** 10.1±÷÷	4.04**
15	2.10**	-7.0		1.36**	**c0.l-	-0.33	-0.84**	0.36			-1.16ns	-2.92**	-10.4/**	-6./5**
9	-1.23*	6.35	**•	.45	0.28	0.00	0.13**	2.18*	*	16./3**	-6.49**	4.92**	1.65	-0.52
	0.27	0.35	Ť ľ	0.40	1.28**	$1.17^{**}$	-0.34 * *	-0.77	* .	23.23**	-23.99**	-8.66**	-4.92**	-2.53**
<u>e</u>	3.10**	-14.	19** -(	0.65*	$2.12^{**}$	$1.67^{**}$	-0.12**	3.05*	*	.77**	-0.66ns	-3.60**	-4.91**	2.72**
- <u>-</u>	2.27**	1.48	- * *	0.80**	0.78*	0.50	-0.20**	-1.62	* *	1.23	-9.99**	-5.53**	$5.44^{**}$	8.57**
7	4.10**	2.27	**	1.21**	0.12	$0.67^{*}$	0.09**	-0.57	**	4.44**	-14.16**	-18.09**	-6.02**	0.55
E lines (	0.50 1.10**	0.37	0	0.30	0.32	0.33	0.02	0.16	0 *	.63	1.27	0.82	1.30 0.60	0.31
-	-1.49**	τ. 1.35		0.28**	0.29**	0.12	0.01	-0.68.	ч с -	2.68**	1.0/*	2.28**	-0.86*	-0.95**
,	0.03** 7.86**	C.I- 41 0		1.19	-0.33	-0.20° 0.17	-0.21**	* CC.U 0 14 *	• ': *	**0.0	-12.90** 11 92**	-/.00**	-3.00** 4 45**	-1./2**
E testers (	0.16 0.16	0.12		.10	0.10	0.11	0.01	0.05	o 0	.21	0.18	0.22	0.42	0.10
** significant at 5	and 1 per ce	ent probabil	lity level, respe	ctively.										

## SHILPI MALIK AND SURENDRA SINGH

Table 1: Analysis of variance for combining ability

Per se performance         General combiner         Per se performance         Specific cross           Days to 50 per cent flowering $L_{19}$ $L_{12}$ $L_2 \times T_2$ $L_{16} \times T_2$ $A/P$ $L_{15}$ $L_6$ $L_2 \times T_3$ $L_{13} \times T_3$ $P/P$ $L_{20}$ $L_{13}$ $L_{11} \times T_2$ $L_{17} \times T_3$ $P/P$ Plant height $T_3$ $L_{13}$ $L_{20} \times T_1$ $L_{11} \times T_3$ $P/P$ $T_2$ $L_{18}$ $L_{20} \times T_3$ $L_{20} \times T_3$ $P/P$ $P/P$ $T_1$ $L_3$ $L_{10} \times T_1$ $L_{11} \times T_3$ $P/P$ $T_2$ $L_{18}$ $L_{20} \times T_3$ $L_{20} \times T_3$ $P/P$ $T_1$ $L_3$ $L_{10} \times T_1$ $L_{13} \times T_3$ $G/P$ Panicle length $L_{19}$ $L_{11}$ $T_{11} \times T_1$ $L_2 \times T_3$ $G/P$ $L_{20}$ $L_7$ $L_{17} \times T_1$ $L_2 \times T_3$ $G/P$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10} \times T_1$ $L_{10} \times T_1$ $P/P$ Panicle length $L$	Character	Parent		Specific cross		gca of parent in
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Per se performance	General combiner	Per se performance	Specific cross	specific cross
$L_{15}$ $L_{6}$ $L_{2} \times T_{3}$ $L_{13} \times T_{3}$ $P/P$ Plant height $T_{3}$ $L_{13}$ $L_{11} \times T_{2}$ $L_{17} \times T_{3}$ $P/P$ Plant height $T_{3}$ $L_{13}$ $L_{20} \times T_{1}$ $L_{11} \times T_{3}$ $P/P$ Plant height $T_{3}$ $L_{13}$ $L_{20} \times T_{3}$ $L_{20} \times T_{3}$ $P/P$ Plant height $T_{1}$ $L_{3}$ $L_{18} \times T_{3}$ $L_{13} \times T_{3}$ $P/P$ Panicle length $L_{19}$ $L_{11}$ $L_{11} \times T_{1}$ $L_{7} \times T_{1}$ $G/P$ Panicle number per plant $L_{10}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10} \times T_{1}$ $L_{2} \times T_{3}$ $G/P$ Phice $L_{11}$ $L_{11}$ $L_{11} \times T_{1}$ $L_{2} \times T_{3}$ $G/P$ Panicle number per plant $L_{11}$ $L_{18}$ $L_{4} \times T_{2}$ $L_{16} \times T_{1}$ $P/P$ $L_{12}$ $L_7$ $L_{16} \times T_{1}$ $L_{13} \times T_{2}$ $P/P$ $L_{13}$ $L_{16}$ $L_{17} \times T_{1}$ $L_{17} \times T_{1}$ $P/P$ $L_{13}$ $L_{16}$ $L_{16} \times T_{1}$ $L_{13} \times T_{2}$	Days to 50 per cent flowering	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>12</sub>	$L_2 \times T_2$	$L_{16} \times T_2$	A/P
Plant height $L_{20}^{0}$ $L_{13}^{1}$ $L_{11}^{1} \times T_{2}^{1}$ $L_{17}^{1} \times T_{3}^{1}$ P/P         Plant height $T_{3}^{1}$ $L_{13}^{1}$ $L_{20}^{0} \times T_{1}^{1}$ $L_{11}^{1} \times T_{3}^{1}$ P/P         T_{2}^{1} $L_{10}^{1}$ $L_{20}^{1} \times T_{3}^{1}$ $L_{20}^{1} \times T_{3}^{1}$ P/P         Panicle length $L_{19}^{10}$ $L_{11}^{1}$ $L_{11}^{1} \times T_{1}^{1}$ $L_{7} \times T_{1}^{1}$ $G/P$ Panicle length $L_{19}^{10}$ $L_{11}^{1}$ $L_{11}^{1} \times T_{1}^{1}$ $L_{7} \times T_{1}^{1}$ $G/P$ Panicle length $L_{19}^{10}$ $L_{11}^{1} \times T_{1}^{1}$ $L_{7} \times T_{1}^{1}$ $L_{2} \times T_{3}^{1}$ $G/P$ Panicle number per plant $L_{11}^{11}$ $L_{18}^{18}$ $L_{4} \times T_{2}^{1}$ $L_{16}^{1} \times T_{1}^{1}$ $P/P$ Panicle number per plant $L_{11}^{11}$ $L_{18}^{18}$ $L_{4} \times T_{2}^{2}$ $P/P$ $L_{13}^{1}$ $L_{13}^{1} \times T_{1}^{1}$ $L_{13}^{1} \times T_{2}^{2}$ $P/P$ Italian $L_{11}^{1}$ $L_{18}^{1}$ $L_{13}^{1} \times T_{2}^{2}$ $P/P$ $P/P$ Italian $L_{18}^{1}$ $L_{16}^{1} \times T_{1}^{1}$ $L_{12}^{1} \times T_{1}^{1}$		L,5	L'	$L_{2} \times T_{2}$	$L_{1,2} \times T_{2,2}$	P/P
Plant height $T_3^{a}$ $L_{13}^{a}$ $L_{13}^{a}$ $L_{10}^{a} \times T_1^{2}$ $L_{11}^{a} \times T_3^{a}$ P/P $T_2$ $L_{18}$ $L_{20} \times T_3$ $L_{20} \times T_3$ $L_{20} \times T_3$ P/P         Panicle length $L_{19}$ $L_{11}$ $L_{11} \times T_1$ $L_7 \times T_1$ $G/P$ Panicle length $L_{19}$ $L_{11}$ $L_{11} \times T_1$ $L_7 \times T_1$ $G/P$ Panicle length $L_{19}$ $L_{11}$ $L_{11} \times T_1$ $L_7 \times T_1$ $G/P$ Panicle length $L_{19}$ $L_{11}$ $L_{17} \times T_1$ $L_2 \times T_3$ $G/P$ Panicle number per plant $L_{11}$ $L_{18}$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $P/P$ Panicle number per plant $L_{11}$ $L_{18}$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $L_4 \times T_1$ $P/P$ Panicle number per plant $L_{11}$ $L_{18}$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $P/P$ $L_{13}$ $L_{17}$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $L_{13} \times T_2$ $P/P$ $L_{15}$ $L_{17}$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $L_{12} \times T_1$ $P/P$ $L_{11}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10}$		L <sub>m</sub>	L,	$L_{11} \times T_2$	$L_{17} \times T_{2}$	P/P
T2       L18       L20 × T3       L20 × T3       P/P         T1       L3       L18 × T3       L13 × T3       G/P         Panicle length       L19       L11       L11 × T1       L2 × T3       G/P         L20       L9       L17 × T1       L2 × T3       G/P         Panicle length       L19       L11       L11 × T1       L2 × T3       G/P         L20       L9       L17 × T1       L2 × T3       G/P         L12       L7       L8 × T1       L15 × T1       P/P         Panicle number per plant       L11       L18       L4 × T2       L6 × T1       P/P         L13       L11       L18       L4 × T2       L6 × T1       P/P         L13       L11       L18       L4 × T2       P/P       P/P         L13       L11       L16 × T1       L13 × T2       P/P         L15       L17       L16 × T1       L13 × T2       P/P         L15       L17       L16 × T1       L12 × T1       P/P         L11       L6       L16 × T1       L12 × T1       P/P         L11       L6       L16 × T1       L12 × T1       A/G	Plant height	T <sub>3</sub>	L <sub>13</sub>	$L_{20} \times T_1$	$L_{11} \times T_3$	P/P
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T,	L <sub>10</sub>	$L_{20}^{20} \times T_{2}$	$L_{20} \times T_2$	P/P
Panicle length       L       L       L       L       L       L       L       C/P         L20       L9       L17 × T1       L2 × T3       G/P         L12       L7       L8 × T1       L15 × T1       P/P         Panicle number per plant       L11       L18       L4 × T2       L16 × T1       P/P         L13       L11       L18       L4 × T2       L16 × T1       P/P         L13       L11       L13 × T2       L4 × T2       P/P         L15       L17       L16 × T1       L13 × T2       P/P         L15       L17       L16 × T1       L13 × T2       P/P         L11       L6       L16 × T1       L12 × T1       P/Q         L11       L6       L16 × T1       L12 × T1       P/G         L11       L6       L16 × T1       L12 × T1       A/G		T <sub>1</sub>	Ľ	$L_{18}^{20} \times T_{3}^{2}$	$L_{13}^{20} \times T_{3}^{2}$	G/P
La       La <thla< th="">       La       La       <th< td=""><td>Panicle length</td><td>L<sub>10</sub></td><td>L,</td><td><math>L_{11} \times T_1</math></td><td><math>L_{7} \times T_{1}</math></td><td>G/P</td></th<></thla<>	Panicle length	L <sub>10</sub>	L,	$L_{11} \times T_1$	$L_{7} \times T_{1}$	G/P
$L_{12}^{20}$ $L_7^{2}$ $L_8^{17} \times T_1^{-1}$ $L_1^2 \times T_1^{-1}$ $P/P$ Panicle number per plant $L_{11}$ $L_{18}$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $P/G$ L_{13} $L_{11}$ $L_{13} \times T_2$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $P/P$ L_{15} $L_{17}$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $L_{13} \times T_2$ $P/P$ Tillers per plant $L_{13}$ $L_{18}$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $P/P$ L_{11} $L_6$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $L_{12} \times T_1$ $P/G$ L_{11} $L_6$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $L_{12} \times T_1$ $P/G$	-	L	L	$L_{17} \times T_1$	$L_{2} \times T_{2}$	G/P
Panicle number per plant $L_{11}^2$ $L_{18}$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $P/G$ $L_{13}$ $L_{11}$ $L_{13} \times T_2$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $P/P$ $L_{15}$ $L_{17}$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $L_{13} \times T_2$ $P/P$ Tillers per plant $L_{13}$ $L_{18}$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $P/P$ $L_{11}$ $L_{6}$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $L_{12} \times T_1$ $P/Q$ $L_{11}$ $L_6$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $L_{12} \times T_1$ $P/Q$		L <sub>12</sub>	L,	L × T	$L_{15} \times T_1$	P/P
Lin     Lin <thlin< th=""> <thlin< th=""> <thlin< th=""> <thlin< th=""></thlin<></thlin<></thlin<></thlin<>	Panicle number per plant	L <sub>11</sub> <sup>12</sup>	L <sub>10</sub>	$L_{4} \times T_{2}$	$L_{14} \times T_1$	P/G
Lis       Lis       Lin       Lis       Y       Y       Lis       Y <t< td=""><td></td><td>L,</td><td>L,,</td><td><math>L_{12} \times T_{22}</math></td><td>L, × T,</td><td>P/P</td></t<>		L,	L,,	$L_{12} \times T_{22}$	L, × T,	P/P
Tillers per plant $L_{13}$ $L_{16}$ $L_4 \times T_2$ $L_4 \times T_2^2$ P/P $L_{11}$ $L_6$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $L_{12} \times T_1$ P/G $L_{11}$ $L_6$ $L_{16} \times T_1$ $L_{12} \times T_1$ P/G		L <sub>15</sub>	L <sub>17</sub>	$L_{16}^{13} \times T_{1}^{2}$	$L_{1,2}^{\dagger} \times \tilde{T}_{2,2}$	P/P
$L_{11} = L_{16} = L_{16} \times \tilde{T}_{1} = L_{12} \times \tilde{T}_{1} = P/G$	Tillers per plant	L <sub>1</sub> ,	L,,,,	$L_{4} \times T_{2}$	$L_1 \times T_2$	P/P
		L,,	L <sub>c</sub>	$L_{16}^{7} \times \tilde{T}_{1}$	$L_{1,2}^{2} \times T_{1,2}^{2}$	P/G
		L <sub>15</sub>	L,,	$L_{1,2} \times T_{2}$	$L_{12} \times T_1$	A/G
Panicle weight $L_{i_4}$ $L_{i_5}$ $L_{i_7} \times T_{i_7}$ $P/P$	Panicle weight	L <sub>14</sub>	L,	L, × T,	$L_{17} \times T_1$	P/P
		L <sub>12</sub>	Ĺ,	$L_1 \times T_2$	$L_{11} \times T_2$	G/G
$L_{10}$ $L_{12}$ $L_{16} \times \overline{T}$ $L_{10} \times \overline{T}$ P/P		L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>12</sub>	$L_{14} \times T_{24}$	$L_{10} \times T_1$	P/P
1000 grain weight $L_{16}^{10}$ $L_{16}^{10}$ $L_{16}^{10}$ $L_{10}^{10}$ × T, $L_{1,7}^{1,7}$ × T, P/P	1000 grain weight	L	L <sub>19</sub>	$L_{20}^{10} \times T_1$	$L_{17}^{17} \times T_1$	P/P
$L_{14}$ $L_{16}$ $L_{0}^{2} \times T_{2}$ $L_{11}^{2} \times T_{2}$ $P/G$		L <sub>14</sub>	L <sub>16</sub>	L × T	$L_{11} \times T_2$	P/G
$L_{12}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{2}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10}$ $X$ $T_{1}$ $P/P$		L,-	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>c</sub> × T <sub>2</sub>	$L_{10} \times T_1$	P/P
Spikelet number per panicle $L_{1}^{'}$ $L_{1}^{''}$ $L_{2}^{''}$ $L_{1}^{''} \times T_{2}^{'}$ $L_{1}^{''} \times T_{1}^{''}$ P/P	Spikelet number per panicle	L,	L,	$L_1 \times T_2$	L, × T,	P/P
$L_{i4}$ $L_{i2}$ $L_{i2}$ $L_{i2}$ $L_{i2}$ $L_{i2}$ $L_{i2}$ $L_{i2}$ $L_{i3}$ $L_{i2}$ $L_{i3}$ $L_{i4}$ $L_{i2}$ $G/P$		L <sub>14</sub>	L <sub>12</sub>	$L_1 \times T_1$	$L_1 \times T_2$	G/P
$\Gamma_{i}$ , $L_{i}$ , $L$		T <sup>'4</sup> 2	L <sub>14</sub>	$L_{14} \times T_{2}$	$L_{12} \times T_{22}$	G/G
Grain number per plant $L_{1,4}$ , $L_{2,7}$ , $L_{1,7}$ , $L_{1,7}$ , $L_{1,7}$ , $L_{1,7}$ , $G/G$	Grain number per plant	L <sub>14</sub>	L,	L, × T,	$L_{12} \times T_2$	G/G
$L_{\tau}$ $L_{\mu}$ $L_{\mu}$ $L_{\mu}$ $L_{\mu}$ $L_{\mu}$ $L_{\mu}$ $L_{\mu}$ $L_{\mu}$ $P/G$		L,	L <sub>14</sub>	$L_1 \times T_2$	L,× T,	P/G
$L_{17}$ $L_{17}^{i*}$ $L_{10}^{i} \times T_{2}^{i}$ $L_{10}^{i} \times T_{2}^{i}$ $G/G$		L <sub>17</sub>	L,	$L_{10} \times T_{2}$	L <sub>1</sub> ×T	G/G
spikelet fertility $L_{12}$ , $L_{2}$ , $L_{3}$ , $L_{3}$ , $L_{4}$ , $L_{5}$ , $L_{7}$ , $L_{7}$ , $G/P$	% spikelet fertility	L	L,	L, × T,	$L_{z} \times T_{z}$	G/P
$L_{1,2}^{\prime}$ $L_{2,2}^{\prime}$ $L_{2,2}^{\prime}$ $L_{2,2}^{\prime}$ $L_{2,2}^{\prime}$ $X_{2,2}^{\prime}$ $G/G$	. ,	L <sub>12</sub>	L,	$L_1 \times T_2$	$L_{2} \times T_{2}$	G/G
$L_{10}$ $L_{14}$ $L_{16}$ $L_{16}$ $\times$ $\dot{T}_{2}$ $P/G$		L	L,	$L_{10} \times T_{2}$	$L_{12} \times T_{22}$	P/G
Harvest Index $L_{i}$ $L_{i}$ $L_{i}$ $L_{i}$ $X$ $T_{a}$ $L_{i}$ $X$ $T_{a}$ $P/P$	Harvest Index	L.	L,	L. × T.	L, × T,	P/P
$L_{10}^{14}$ $L_{10}^{14}$ $L_{20}^{14}$ $X_{10}^{14}$ $Z_{10}^{14}$ $Z_{10}^{14}$ $Z_{10}^{14}$ $Z_{10}^{14}$ $P/P$		L <sub>10</sub>	Ĺ	$L_{10}^{14} \times T_{1}^{2}$	L'× T	P/P
$L_{16}^{\mu\nu}$ $L_{16}^{\mu\nu}$ $L_{0}^{\mu\nu} \times T_{2}^{\nu}$ $L_{0}^{\nu} \times T_{2}^{\nu}$ P/P		L	L <sub>10</sub>	L × T	$L_{0} \times \tilde{T}_{2}$	P/P
Grain yield per plant $L_{14}^{10}$ $L_{19}^{10}$ $L_{20}^{2} \times \tilde{T}$ , $L_{1}^{2} \times T_{2}^{2}$ G/G	Grain yield per plant	L <sub>14</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	$L_{10} \times \tilde{T}_{1}$	$L_1 \times T_1$	G/G
$L_{10}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10}$ $L_{10}$ $H_{10}$ $P/P$		L <sub>10</sub>	Ľ,	L, × T,	$L_{20} \times T_2$	P/P
$L_{11}^{10}$ $L_{14}^{1}$ $L_{20}^{1} \times T_{3}^{2}$ $L_{11}^{2} \times T_{2}^{2}$ P/G		L <sub>11</sub>	L <sub>14</sub>	$L_{20} \times T_3$	$L_{11} \times T_2$	P/G

### Table 3: Best parents and specific crosses for various Characters

number of tillers per plant, number of panicles per, plant, panicle length (cm), panicle weight (g), number of grains per panicle, number of spikelets per panicle, fertility percentage, 1000-grain weight (g), harvest index and grain yield per plant (g).

The mean data on various characters were subjected to combining ability analysis through line x tester method developed by Kempthorne (1957) and detailed by Singh and Chaudhary (1985).

#### Genotypes used for experimental material

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Significance for all the characters indicated wide genetic differences among them.

The significance of variance due to L x T for all the characters provided a direct test indicating that dominance or no-additive variance was important for all studied characters. The SCA variances were higher than GCA variances for all the traits suggesting the significant role of non-additive gene action for grain yield and its components was also reported by other workers, Rita and Motiramani (2005); Singh et al. (2005) and Venkatesan et al. (2007). (Table 1).

UPR 3403-11-1-2 (L<sub>2</sub>), UPR 3428-4-1-1 (L<sub>11</sub>), UPR 3456-4-2-2 (L<sub>14</sub>) were best general combiners among lines as evident from significant GCA effects for seven characters and UPR 3403-4-1-1 (L<sub>1</sub>), UPR 3434-1-1-2 (L<sub>12</sub>) and UPR 3469-13-1-1 (L<sub>18</sub>) for six characters. Among testers PUSA 6A (T<sub>3</sub>) was found to be the best general combiner for seven characters viz. Panicle weight, 1000 grain weight, spikelet number per panicle, grain number per panicle, % spikelet fertility, harvest index and grain yield per plant. Results indicated that UPR 3480-9-1-1 (L<sub>19</sub>), UPR 3403-4-1-1 (L<sub>1</sub>), UPR3456-4-2-2 (L<sub>14</sub>) among lines and PUSA 6A (T<sub>3</sub>) among testers are best combiners for grain yield (Table 2). Highly significant values for both combining capabilities and greater GCA value received Sabouri *et al.* (2013).

Parents showing maximum *per se* performance were also the best general combiner for the characters *viz*. UPR 3428-4-1-1 ( $L_{11}$ ) for panicle number per plant and tillers per plant, UPR 3456-4-2-2 ( $L_{14}$ ) for spikelet number per panicle, grain number per plant, harvest index and grain yield per plant. Relationship

between per se performance and SCA effects of crosses was also revealed. The specific cross UPRI 2008-62 x Pusa 6A (L<sub>20</sub> x T<sub>2</sub>) for plant height, UPR 3406-7-2-1 x UPRI 95-17A (L, x T<sub>2</sub>) panicle number per plant and tillers per plant, UPR 3443-1-3-1 x UPRI 95-17A (L<sub>13</sub> x T<sub>2</sub>) and UPR 3480-9-1-1 x UPRI 95-167 (L<sub>16</sub> x T<sub>1</sub>) for panicle number per plant, UPR 3403-4-1-1 x UPRI 95-17A (L, x T<sub>2</sub>) for spikelet number per plant, UPR 3413-8-3-1 x UPRI 95-17A (L<sub>a</sub> x T<sub>a</sub>) for harvest index, UPRI 2008-62 x UPRI 95-17A ( $L_{20} \times T_{2}$ ) for grain yield per plant and UPR 3403-4-1-1 x UPRI 95-167 ( $L_1 \times T_1$ ) for grain number per plant and grain yield per plant were the best specific crosses along with their best per se performance and are therefore, suggested for exploitation to isolate high yielding pure lines and/or for straight use in hybrid breeding programme presented in table 3. A comparison of the magnitude of variance components due to GCA and SCA combined the nature of gene action in controlling the expression of the traits was also reported by Bhadru et al. (2013).

#### REFERENCES

Bhadru, D., Reddy, D. L. and Ramesha M. S. 2013. The effect of environment on combining ability and heterosis in hybrid rice. *Greener J. Agricultural Sciences.* 3(9): 669-686.

**FAO.org 2004.** "Hybrid Rice for Food Security". *Fact Sheet*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *Retrieved* 2009-10-19.

Kempthorne, Q. 1957. An introduction to genetic statistics. J. Willey

and Sons, New York. pp. 468-471.

Khan, M. A., Malik, S. and Singh S. 2012. Identification of maintainers and restorers for development of potential rice (Oryza sativa L.) hybrids for tarai region. *Vegetoes*. 25(1): 48-51.

Lyngdoh, Y. A., Mulge, R. and Shadap A. 2013. Heterosis and combining ability studies in near homozygous lines of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench) for growth parameters. *The Bioscan.* 8(4): 1275-1279.

Rita, B. and Motiramani, N. K. 2005. Study on gene action and combining ability in rice. *Oryza*. 42: 153-155.

Sabouri, H., Sabouri, A., Kavandi, R., Katouzi, M. and Dadras, A. R. 2013. Genetic Analysis of agronomic traits in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *International J. Agronomy and Plant Production.* 4(6): 1298-1304.

Sheeba, N. K., Viraktamath, B. C., Sivaramakrishnan, S., Gangashetti, M.G., Khera, P. and Sundaram, R. M. 2009. Validation of molecular markers linked to fertility restorer gene(s) for WA-CMS lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Euphytica*. 217-227.

Singh, R. K. and Chaudhary, B. D. 1985. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. *Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana*.

Singh, R. V., Maurya, D. M., Dwivedi, J. L. and Verma, O. P. 2005. Combining ability studies on yield and its components using CMS lines in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).: *Oryza*. **42(4)**: 306-309.

Venkatesan, M., Anbuselvam, Y., Elangaimannan, R. and Karthikeyan, P. 2007. Combining ability for yield and physiological characters in rice. J. Crop Imp. 44(4): 296-299.

Yuan, L. P. and Peng, J. M. 2005. Hybrid Rice and World Food Security. China Science and Technology Press, Beijing.